

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/609,215	(06/26/2003	Albert F. Winkeler III	66638/40337	6484
21888	7590	03/27/2006		EXAM	INER
THOMPSO	N COBU	JRN, LLP	CLEARY, THOMAS J		
ONE US BA		ZA	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 3500				AKTONII	TATER NOMBER
ST LOUIS, MO 63101				2111	
				DATE MAILED: 03/27/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\mathcal{M}
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Comments	10/609,215	WINKELER ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thomas J. Cleary	2111
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	opears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING 1 - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuenty reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI .136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ate, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-66 is/are pending in the application	on.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) <u>1-66</u> are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 Certified copies of the priority docume 	nts have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr		received in this National Stage
application from the International Bure		l and the second
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not	; received.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	8) 5) Notice of 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/609,215 Page 2

Art Unit: 2111

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Group I: User-redefinable channel configuration (Specification, Page 3 Lines 12-20); redefinable channel configuration selected from a predetermined range (Specification, Page 3 Line 33 – Page 4 Line 15); generating a configuration file to configure channels (Specification, Page 5 Lines 8-20); using a stored configuration file to configure channels (Specification, Page 5 Line 34 – Page 6 Line 7).

2. The species are independent or distinct because the species as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the species as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species from each group for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas J. Cleary whose telephone number is 571-272-3624. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (7-3), Alt. Fridays (7-2).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cottingham can be reached on 571-272-7079. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2111

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TJC

Thomas J. Cleary

Art Unit 2111

Mals Dones